

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

**NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES**

FILED  
This space for  
Clerk's Office File Stamp  
09 DEC 23 PM 1:53  
Whelan  
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
RECEIVED DATE: 12/21/09

TO: ☒ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☐ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
FROM: K. Hammerly, Deputy Clerk  
CASE NO.: 09cv2284 DOCUMENT FILED BY: Deft Trice  
CASE TITLE: Liberty Media Holdings, LLC v. Trice, et al  
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: letter to judge

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local Rule | Discrepancy   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 5.1                   | Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 5.3                   | Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 5.4                   | <b>Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.</b> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1           | Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1           | Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1           | Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions                                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 7.1                   | Missing table of contents   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 15.1                  | Amended pleading not complete in itself   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 30.1                  | Depositions not accepted absent a court order                                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/>                       | Supplemental documents require court order  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>                       | Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest                      |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X          | <b>OTHER: ex parte communication prohibited; missing proof of service</b>         |

**MUST BE FILED IN THE FORM OF A MOTION AND BE SERVED ON  
OPPOSING COUNSEL - OTHERWISE IMPROPER  
EX PARTE COMMUNICATION WITH JUDGE (WHELAN)  
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

Date forwarded: 12/21/09

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

- ☐ The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
- ☒ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED, and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☐ Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers ☐

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: JUDGE WHELAN

Dated: 12/23/09  
cc: All Parties

By: LAW CLERK

**REJECTED**

David Trice  
PO Box 700882  
Dallas, TX 75370  
214.392.2525  
Dtrice.tx@gmail.com

Defendant

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CASE NO 09CV2284W POR (LIBERTY MEDIA HOLDINGS LLC v DAVID  
TRICE)

Request for Extension

Dear Honorable Judge:

May I please request an extension of the time in which I must file an answer to your Court? Please excuse my crude communication, but as of yet, I have been unable to engage counsel.

My difficulty lies in the surprise nature of the suit, and the fact that I am an individual, and have never had reason to retain counsel before. I am continuing to search for an appropriate representative.

Additionally, I believe once the holiday season is over, the various attorneys may have more time to meet with me. I understand that I have had almost 60 days, unfortunately due to the newness of the situation, I have not been able to move very fast.

I humbly request an extension until at least January 31, 2010; but I would appreciate whatever time you may provide.

Dated: December 17, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

*David Trice*

David Trice  
Defendant